

##### BTL PRODUCT CERTIFICATION

##### **PRODUCT ATTESTATION FORM FOR 2 COMPANIES**

#### Revision 2

**1.0 Introduction -**

BTL Certification of a product is based on a final test report issued by a Recognized BACnet Testing Organization (RBTO) that is submitted with an online BTL Certification Application. When the product information submitted for Certification does not exactly match the information in the final test report then the Certification request is rejected. However, the BTL testing policies permit certification of products that are not listed in a final test report if they are derived from a tested product. In order to qualify as a derived product, all of the following must be true:

1. The product submitted for certification must be built from the same source code for all of its BACnet functionality implementation as the tested product.
2. The product submitted for certification must not contain any BACnet functionality that is not contained in the tested product. (i.e. there are no checklist items selected that were not selected for the tested product)
3. The product submitted for certification was developed by a sister company or a parent company as the tested product.
4. The product submitted for certification does not require any additional tests beyond those already applied to the tested product due to any conditionality requirements called out in the BTL Test Plan.

**2.0 Tested Product Information -**

The information **exactly as it appears** in the final test report.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Vendor Name: |  |
| Product Name: |  |
| Product Model(s): |  |
| Firmware Revision: |  |
| Application Software Version: |  |
| Final Test Report Number: |  |
| Recognized BACnet Test Organization (RBTO): |  |
| BACnet Device Profile: |  |
| BACnet Protocol Revision: |  |

**2.1 Original Manufacturer Listing Information -**

Provide the Manufacturer’s BTL Certification / Listing number.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Current Listing Number: | BTL- |

**2.2 Current Listing Information -**

Complete this section **ONLY** if this is to update an existing BTL Certification / Listing that is based on the **same** Final Test Report. Otherwise, leave this section blank.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Current Listing Number: | BTL- |

**3.0 Request BTL Certification Product Information -**

The information **exactly as it appears** in the BTL Certification Application.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Vendor Name: |  |
| Product Name: |  |
| Product Model(s): |  |
| Version for Certification/ Listing: |  |
| BACnet Device Profile: |  |
| BACnet Protocol Revision: |  |

**4.0 Attestation Questionnaire -**

|  |
| --- |
| **4.1** **Attestation Summary:** Summarize the reason for **each difference** between what is in the final test report and what is requested in the application for BTL Certification. Include both BACnet related and non-BACnet related information. |
|  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **4.2** **Yes/No Questions:** Mark YES or NO for each of the following questions about differences in the BACnet implementation between the product submitted for Certification and product referenced in the final test report. | | |
|  | | |
| **YES** | **NO** |  |
|  |  | Is the product built with the same source code as the tested product for all its BACnet functionality? |
|  |  | Was support for any new BACnet object types added (such as adding a Trend Log object, or the ability to dynamically create a Trend Log, to a device that did not have one)? |
|  |  | Were any optional properties which were not supported in the tested implementation, added to any BACnet object? |
|  |  | Were any BACnet Services added? |
|  |  | Was code modified involving any changes to the BACnet stack, other than the content of character string properties? |
|  |  | Were there any changes made that would add checkmarks to the Functionality Checklist? |
|  |  | Has the Vendor ID been changed? |
|  |  | For changed Vendor ID: Has the change to the Vendor ID been verified by internal testing? |

**5.0 Attestation -**

This document is the basis for Certification of a Derived Product and any inaccuracies in this document are grounds for refusing or withdrawing BTL Certification.

The information provided in this form is correct to the best of my knowledge.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| The Company submitting the request for Certification - |  |
| Signature: |  |
| **Print Name:** |  |
| **Title:** |  |
| **Company:** |  |
| Date: |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| The Company referenced in the final test report - |  |
| Signature: |  |
| **Print Name:** |  |
| **Title:** |  |
| **Company:** |  |
| Date: |  |

Please submit this document to:

Attention: BTL Certifications & Listings Manager

BACnet International

1827 Powers Ferry Road

Building 14, Suite 100

Atlanta, GA 30339

United States

Tel: +1 (770) 971-6003

Fax: +1 (678) 229-2777

Or email directly to [certifications@bacnetinternational.org](mailto:certifications@bacnetinternational.org)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Version** | **Date** | **Author** | **Change** |
| 1.0 | 06 May 2017 | AHM | Created from Derived Product Attestation |
| 1.1 | 24 July 2017 | ENH | Changed instructions in section 4.1 |
| 1.2 | 02 Nov 2017 | ENH & KB | Merged info from Derived Product and OEM Product Attestations to create Derived Product Attestation 2 companies |
| 1.3 | 22 Mar 2018 | KLB | Added BACnet Device Profile & Protocol Revision & Formatting |
| 1.4 | 20 Sept 2018 | ENH/KLB | Clarifications for Version Numbers to Match FTR and Certification Application |
| 1.5 | 29 Jan 2019 | KLB | Updated Contact Information, added Vendor ID Questions, and Formatting |
| 2 | 30 Apr 2021 | ENH / KBL | Combining New/Updating Attestation into 1 Form / Other Formatting Edits |