

### Clarification Request

**Request from:** Lori Tribble ([btl-manager@bacnetinternational.org](mailto:btl-manager@bacnetinternational.org))

**References:** BTL Test Plan

**Stage:** ☒Request, ☒Listed, ☒Analysis, ☒Resolved

#### Background / Proposed Solution:

The current BTL Test Plan only tests the Schedule Object if one of the scheduling BIBBs is supported.

When this came up for the Calendar Object, the group decided (8-0-0) on March 23, 2006, that an IUT can claim support for the Calendar Object and not support the Scheduling BIBBs. Each new case was to be brought before the group for individual decisions.

#### Question:

Is it OK for a device to claim support for the schedule object and not support any of the scheduling BIBBs?

If so, are there tests we should run on the schedule object?

If not, does this mean that the schedule object should not be placed on the BTL Listing in the supported objects section because we did not test this object? (Similar to the way we handle the File object and Backup and Restore testing.)

#### Response:

The BTL-WG requires that any device that supports a BACnet schedule object must support one of the Scheduling BIBBs.

Addendum L to the 135-2004 standard introduces new schedule BIBBs which may be used to satisfy this requirement.