

Clarification Request

References: BTL Test Plan-9.0.final

Date of BTL-WG Response: March 1, 2012_____

Background / Proposed Solution:

Incorrect test numbers in Testplan 9.0 Final.

Change	Page #s
135.1-20079 - 9.14.1.1	383
135.1-20079 - 9.14.1.2	383
135.1-20079 - 9.15.1.1	383
135.1-20079 - 9.15.1.2	383
BTL 135.1-2009 - 8.16.2	332
BTL 7.3.2.23.X2.1	221, 236, 241
BTL 7.3.2.23.X2.2	215, 234, 247
BTL 7.3.2.23.X2.4	220, 253
BTL 7.3.2.23.X2.7	223, 234, 241
BTL 7.3.2.23.X2.8	228
BTL 7.3.2.23.X2.3.7	219, 250
BTL 7.3.2.23.X2.3.8	216, 252
BTL 7.3.2.23.X2.3.9	216, 247
BTL 7.3.2.23.X2.3.10	219, 250
BTL 7.3.2.23.X2.3.11	219, 250
BTL 7.3.2.23.X2.3.12	219, 248
BTL 7.3.2.23.X2.3.13	220, 234, 241
BTL 7.3.2.23.X3Y	220
BTL 135.1-2009g-14 - 8.22.X24	135, 136, 174, 189, 192, 199, 202, 211, 261
BTL 135.1-2009g-14 - 8.22.X35	135, 136
BTL 135.1-2009g-5 - 12.1.1.9.x4X	386
BTL 135.1-2009f-4 - 9.17.1.1	358

Duplicate test numbers.

9.1.1.X1

This test number has been used in two different documents (with a different purpose):

- BTL - 9.1.1.X1 - Successful Alarm Acknowledgment of Confirmed Event Notifications Using an Unknown 'Acknowledging Process Identifier' Parameter
- 135.1-2009i-17 - 9.1.1.X1 - Successful Alarm Re-Acknowledgment of Confirmed Event Notifications.

9.1.1.X2

This test number has been used in two different documents (with a different purpose):

- BTL - 9.1.1.X2 - Successful Alarm Acknowledgment of Unconfirmed Event Notifications Using an Unknown 'Acknowledging Process Identifier' Parameter
- 135.1-2009i-17 - 9.1.1.X2 - Successful Alarm Re-Acknowledgment of Unconfirmed Event Notifications.

Question:

Should the above revised references to tests be accepted as errata?

Should BTL – 9.1.1.X1 and BTL - 9.1.1.X2 tests be renumbered to BTL – 9.1.1.Y1 and BTL – 9.1.1.Y2 respectively and accepted as errata?

Response:

Yes and yes.