

### Clarification Request

**References:** BTL Test Plan 14.0 sections 6.4.5 and 6.5.10 and 135-2016 clause 12.24.6

**Date of BTL-WG Response:** 01-Sep-2016

☒ All actions necessitated have been completed

**Background:**

The schedul

For the purpose of interoperability, there is a means to alter the datatype which is to, as preamble, write Exception\_Schedule property of a Schedule object to zero length, or with no BACnetTimeValue entries, write Weekly\_Schedule property with no BACnetTimeVaue entries in any day entries, and write List\_Of\_Object\_Property\_References property to a zero length list, with no references to properties. Do those as preparation before writing the new datatype into the Schedule\_Default property.

**Questions:**

1. Does this restriction on the order of which write requests will succeed, mean that a device submitting for test will qualify for BTL Listing by leaving unchecked the Checklist items entitled "Able to Schedule Values of Different Datatypes (not Simultaneously)" (test plan section 6.4.5 in Scheduling - Internal - B and 6.5.10 in Scheduling - External - B) of the BTL Test Plan?
2. Does this kind of "anchored" datatype in Schedule qualify for BTL Listing by providing IUT Special Test instructions, as above?

**Response:**

**1. No. If the Schedule can support different datatypes, then the Checklist item should be checked.**

**2. Yes**