

## Clarification Request

**References:** BTL Specified Tests 9.24.2.2

**Date of BTL-WG Response:** April 4, 2013

### Background:

#### **BTL - 9.24.2.2 Missing Password**

Reason for Change: Modified test to remove dependency on EPICS values.

Purpose: To verify the correct execution of DeviceCommunicationControl service procedure when a password is required but not provided. If the IUT does not provide password protection this test case shall be omitted.

#### Test Steps:

1. TRANSMIT DeviceCommunicationControl-Request,  
    'Enable/Disable' = DISABLE,
2. (RECEIVE BACnet-Error-PDU,  
    Error Class = SECURITY,  
    Error Code = PASSWORD\_FAILURE) |  
    (RECEIVE BACnet-Error-PDU,  
    Error Class = SERVICES,  
    Error Code = MISSING\_REQUIRED\_PARAMETER)
3. VERIFY *(any valid property) = (any valid value)* ~~(Device, X), (any required non-array property) = (the value for this property specified in the EPICS)~~

#### **135.1 - 2009i-6 - 9.24.2.2 Missing Password**

Purpose: To verify the correct execution of DeviceCommunicationControl service procedure when a password is required but not provided. If the IUT does not provide password protection, then this test case shall be omitted.

#### Test Steps:

1. TRANSMIT DeviceCommunicationControl-Request,  
    'Enable/Disable' =DISABLE,
2. IF (Protocol\_Revision >= 7) THEN  
    RECEIVE BACnet-Error-PDU,  
        Error Class = SECURITY,  
        Error Code = PASSWORD\_FAILURE  
ELSE  
    RECEIVE BACnet-Error-PDU,  
        Error Class = SECURITY,  
        Error Code = PASSWORD\_FAILURE  
    | (RECEIVE BACnet-Error-PDU,  
        Error Class = SERVICES,  
        Error Code = MISSING\_REQUIRED\_PARAMETER)
3. VERIFY (Device, X), System\_Status = (any valid value)

Problem:

**step 2 seems to be changed from the original** 135.1-2009i-6 test without good reason.

**Questions:**

**Should step 2 be used as written in 135.1-2009i?**

**Response:**

**"Yes, the test package will use the version from Addendum 135.1-2009i-6."**